

January 29, 2004

**NOTICE: AO DRAFT COMMENT PROCEDURES**

The Commission has approved a revision in its advisory opinion procedures that permits the submission of written public comments on draft advisory opinions when proposed by the Office of General Counsel and scheduled for a future Commission agenda.

Today, DRAFT ADVISORY OPINION 2003-37 is available for public comments under this procedure. It was requested by Keith A. Davis on behalf of Americans for a Better Country ("ABC"). The draft may be obtained from the Public Disclosure Division of the Commission.

Proposed Advisory Opinion 2003-37 will be on the Commission's agenda for its public meeting of Thursday, February 5, 2004.

Please note the following requirements for submitting comments:

1) Comments must be submitted in writing to the Commission Secretary with a duplicate copy to the Office of General Counsel. Comments in legible and complete form may be submitted by fax machine to the Secretary at (202) 208-3333 and to OGC at (202) 219-3923.

2) The deadline for the submission of comments is 12:00 noon (EDT) on February 4, 2004.

3) No comments will be accepted or considered if received after the deadline. Late comments will be rejected and returned to the commenter. Requests to extend the comment period are discouraged and unwelcome. An extension request will be considered only if received before the comment deadline and then only on a case-by-case basis in special circumstances.

4) All comments timely received will be distributed to the Commission and the Office of General Counsel. They will also be made available to the public at the Commission's Public Disclosure Division.

**CONTACTS**

**Press inquiries: Robert Biersack (202) 694-1220**

**Commission Secretary: Mary Dove (202) 694-1040**

**Other inquiries:**

**To obtain a copy of draft AO 2003-37, contact the Public Records Office - Public Disclosure Division at (202) 694-1120 or 800-424-9530.**

**For questions about the comment submission procedure, contact Rosemary C. Smith, Acting Associate General Counsel, at (202) 694-1650.**

**ADDRESSES**

**Submit a single copy of written comments to:**

**Commission Secretary  
Federal Election Commission  
999 E Street NW  
Washington, DC 20463**

RECEIVED  
FEDERAL ELECTION  
COMMISSION  
SECRETARIAT



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION  
Washington, DC 20463

2004 JAN 29 P 2:40

**MEMORANDUM**

January 29, 2004

TO: The Commission

THROUGH: James A. Pehrkonig *JAP*  
Staff Director

FROM: Lawrence H. Norton *LHN*  
General Counsel

James Kahl *JK*  
Deputy General Counsel

Rosemary C. Smith *RCS*  
Acting Associate General Counsel

John C. Vergelli *JCV*  
Acting Assistant General Counsel

Jonathan M. Levin *JML*  
Senior Attorney

Albert J. Kiss *AJK*  
Staff Attorney

Richard T. Ewell *RTE*  
Staff Attorney

Steve N. Hajjar *SH*  
Staff Attorney

Esa L. Sferra *ELS*  
Staff Attorney

**AGENDA ITEM**  
For Meeting of: 02-05-04

Subject: AO 2003-37

Attached is a proposed draft of the subject advisory opinion. We request that this draft be placed on the agenda for February 5, 2004.

1    ADVISORY OPINION 2003-37

2

3    Keith A. Davis

4    Treasurer

5    Americans for a Better Country

6    228 S. Washington Street

7    Alexandria, VA 22314

8

9

10   Dear Mr. Davis:

11           This responds to your letter dated November 18, 2003, requesting an advisory  
12   opinion on behalf of Americans for a Better Country ("ABC"), concerning the application  
13   of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act" or "FECA"), and  
14   Commission regulations to a variety of political activities. ABC is an unincorporated, non-  
15   connected political committee with Federal and non-Federal accounts that registered with  
16   the Commission on September 4, 2003.

17

18    ***Background***

19           Your request concerns the raising and spending of Federal and non-Federal funds  
20   for a wide range of campaign activities. Some of your proposed activities involve public  
21   communications regarding specific candidates, or candidates holding positions on issues of  
22   importance to ABC. Your proposed activities also include voter mobilization programs. In  
23   addition, you seek guidance on coordinating these campaign activities with federal  
24   candidates and their agents, and having Federal candidates assist ABC by soliciting funds  
25   for ABC's proposed activities.<sup>1</sup>

26

---

<sup>1</sup> This advisory opinion reorganizes and combines certain questions, and answers certain other questions as a group. Attached to this advisory opinion as Appendix A is your letter dated November 18, 2003, annotated with bracketed paragraph numbers that will be referred to throughout this opinion.

**DRAFT**

1 ***Legal Analysis and Conclusions***

2 ***Introduction***

3       The Commission concludes that the activities about which you inquire fall into a  
4 few general categories. Some are generic voter drives and therefore are governed by the  
5 allocation regulations in 11 CFR 106.6(b)(2)(iii). Some of ABC's communications  
6 promote, support, attack or oppose one or more clearly identified Federal candidates, and,  
7 as made by a political committee, these communications are expenditures that must be paid  
8 for entirely with Federal funds. Other communications by ABC must be treated as a  
9 combination of expenditures for Federal candidates and disbursements for non-Federal  
10 candidates. These may be allocated between Federal and non-Federal accounts under 11  
11 CFR 106.1.

12       In *McConnell v. FEC*, 540 U.S. \_\_\_, 124 S.Ct. 619 (2003), the Supreme Court  
13 clarified that the so-called "express advocacy" test is not a constitutional barrier limiting the  
14 interpretation of what is "for the purpose of influencing any Federal election," which is the  
15 operative term used in the definition of "expenditure" in 2 U.S.C. 431(9). *McConnell*, 124  
16 S.Ct. at 688-689. The Court also found constitutional Congress' regulation of two types of  
17 activities addressed in the Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act of 2002, Pub. L. 107-155 (Mar.  
18 27, 2002) ("BCRA"): "Federal election activity," as defined in 2 U.S.C. 431(20), which  
19 includes public communications that promote or support, or attack or oppose a clearly  
20 identified Federal candidate, 2 U.S.C. 431(20)(A)(iii), and "electioneering communication,"  
21 as defined in 2 U.S.C. 434(f)(3)(A)(i). *McConnell*, 124 S.Ct. at 670-673, and 686-689.

22       The Supreme Court found that public communications that promote, support, attack,  
23 or oppose a clearly identified Federal candidate "undoubtedly have a dramatic effect on

1 Federal elections," *McConnell* at 675, and that the regulation of these communications  
2 survives constitutional vagueness concerns because the terms "promote," "support,"  
3 "attack" and "oppose" are explicit standards giving "the person of ordinary intelligence  
4 reasonable opportunity to know what is prohibited." *McConnell* at 675, n. 64, citing  
5 *Grayned v. City of Rockford*, 408 U.S. 104, 108-109 (1972). Under BCRA, these public  
6 communications cannot be paid for with non-Federal funds by Federal, state and local  
7 officeholders or party committees. 2 U.S.C. 441i(a), (b), (e) and (f).

8 The Commission recognizes that political party committees have characteristics not  
9 shared by all political committees regulated under FECA. Nevertheless, the promote,  
10 support, attack, or oppose standard is equally appropriate as the benchmark for determining  
11 whether communications made by political committees must be paid for with Federal  
12 funds. By their very nature, all political committees, not just political party committees, are  
13 focused on the influencing of Federal elections.<sup>2</sup> Moreover, communications have no less a  
14 "dramatic effect" on Federal elections when aired by other types of political committees,  
15 rather than party committees or candidate committees.

16 You have also asked a number of questions about the possible application of  
17 BCRA's electioneering communications provisions to ABC's proposed activities (e.g.,  
18 paragraphs [6], [7], [8], [64A], and [64B], and Exhibit E). As explained below, it is not  
19 necessary to apply the electioneering communications provisions of the Act and  
20 Commission regulations to respond to your request. An "electioneering communication" is

---

<sup>2</sup> For example, *McConnell* stated "[t]he record shows that many of the targeted tax-exempt organizations engage in sophisticated and effective electioneering activities for the purpose of influencing federal elections, including waging broadcast campaigns promoting or attacking particular candidates and conducting large-scale voter registration and GOTV drives." *McConnell* at 679, n. 68 (emphasis added).

1 a "broadcast, cable or satellite" communication that refers to a clearly identified candidate,  
2 is publicly distributed for a fee within 60 days of a general election or 30 days of a primary  
3 or preference election or nominating convention or caucus, and that is, in the case of a  
4 communication that refers to a Congressional candidate, "targeted to the relevant  
5 electorate."<sup>3</sup> 2 U.S.C. 434(f)(3)(A)(i); 11 CFR 100.29.

6 The Act and Commission regulations set forth four exceptions to the definition of  
7 "electioneering communication." 2 U.S.C. 434(f)(3)(B); 11 CFR 100.29(c). One of these  
8 statutory exceptions covers communications that are expenditures or independent  
9 expenditures under the Act. 2 U.S.C. 434(f)(3)(B)(ii). The Commission determined that  
10 communications by political committees that would otherwise meet the definition of  
11 electioneering communications are, in fact, expenditures and must be reported as such.  
12 "Electioneering Communications; Final Rules," 67 Fed. Reg. 65,190, 65,197 (Oct. 23,  
13 2002); *see also* "Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act of 2002; Reporting; Notice of Proposed  
14 Rulemaking," 67 Fed. Reg. 64,555, 64,561 (October 21, 2002).<sup>4</sup> Accordingly, Federal  
15 political committees, by operation of the expenditure and independent expenditure  
16 exemption in 2 U.S.C. 434(f)(3)(B)(ii) and 11 CFR 100.29(c)(3), are not subject to BCRA's  
17 electioneering communication provisions. Therefore, any communications paid for by

---

<sup>3</sup> A communication is "targeted to the relevant electorate" if it can be received by 50,000 or more persons in the State an identified candidate for the Senate seeks to represent or the congressional district an identified candidate for the House of Representatives seeks to represent. 2 U.S.C. 434(f)(3)(C); 100.29(a)(5). In the case of a candidate for nomination for President or Vice President, a communication is publicly distributed if it can be received by 50,000 or more persons in a State where a primary election is being held within 30 days, or if it can be received by 50,000 or more persons anywhere in the United States between 30 days before the first day of the nominating convention and its conclusion. 11 CFR 100.29(b)(3).

<sup>4</sup> The Court in *McConnell* agreed with the Commission's conclusion. It stated, "issue ads broadcast during the 30- and 60-day periods preceding Federal primary and general elections are the functional equivalent of express advocacy." *McConnell* at 696. The Court also recognized that "corporations can still fund electioneering communications with PAC money," i.e., Federal or "hard" money. *Id.* at 695.

1 ABC that possess the characteristics of electioneering communications as defined by the  
2 Act, 2 U.S.C. 434(f)(3), and described in the Commission's regulations, 11 CFR 100.29(a),  
3 must be reported as expenditures and comply with the requirement that expenditures be  
4 Federally funded.

5 Finally, ABC proposes to fund certain activity that may be paid for with a mix of  
6 Federal and non-Federal funds. Commission regulations provide that, with respect to a  
7 political committee with separate Federal and non-Federal accounts (such as ABC), "[a]ll  
8 disbursements, contributions, expenditures, and transfers . . . in connection with any Federal  
9 election shall be made from its Federal account" (except as otherwise permitted with  
10 respect to State, district, and local party committees under 11 CFR Part 300). 11 CFR  
11 102.5(a)(1)(i). The proper allocation of such activity by a non-connected political  
12 committee such as ABC is set by 11 CFR Part 106. Where a communication (including a  
13 voter registration or get-out-the-vote communication) constitutes, at least in part, an  
14 expenditure on behalf of a clearly identified Federal candidate, the provisions at 11 CFR  
15 106.1 providing for allocation to a specific candidate or candidates will apply. Where  
16 specific candidates are not clearly identified and the communication is part of a generic  
17 voter drive, the provisions of 11 CFR 106.6 will apply as to the allocation of a political  
18 committee's disbursements between its Federal and non-Federal accounts.

19 Under 11 CFR 106.1, expenditures, including in-kind contributions, independent  
20 expenditures, and coordinated expenditures made on behalf of more than one clearly  
21 identified Federal candidate, shall be allocated to each such candidate according to the  
22 benefit reasonably expected to be derived; e.g. by the space and time devoted to each  
23 candidate in a printed or broadcast message, or statements in a phone bank message, as

1 compared to the total space or time devoted to all the candidates. This also applies to  
2 allocating payments involving both expenditures on behalf of one or more clearly identified  
3 Federal candidates and disbursements on behalf of one or more clearly identified non-  
4 Federal candidates. 11 CFR 106.1(a).

5 For communications by a non-connected political committee that are for voter  
6 identification, voter registration, or get-out-the-vote purposes that are not coordinated with  
7 a candidate and that do not refer to any clearly identified Federal candidate, Commission  
8 regulations at 11 CFR 106.6 require the use of at least some Federal funds because they are  
9 in part for the purpose of influencing a Federal election. Commission regulations provide  
10 that such committees shall allocate expenses for:

11 Generic voter drives including voter identification, voter registration, and  
12 get-out-the-vote drives, or any other activities that urge the general public to  
13 register, vote or support candidates of a particular party or associated with a  
14 particular issue, without mentioning a specific candidate.

15  
16 11 CFR 106.6(b)(2)(iii). The expenses for such purposes shall be allocated between the  
17 Federal and non-Federal accounts of the non-connected committee based on the ratio of  
18 Federal expenditures to total Federal and non-Federal disbursements made by the  
19 committee during the two-year Federal election cycle.<sup>5</sup>

20

21

---

<sup>5</sup> The ratio shall be estimated and reported at the beginning of each cycle, and subsequent adjustments, accompanied by transfers, are made with respect to each reporting period. 11 CFR 106.6(c)(1) and (2). For the purposes of the ratio, the Federal expenditures shall include only amounts contributed to or otherwise spent on behalf of specific Federal candidates, including independent expenditures, and amounts spent on communications that promote, support, attack, or oppose a clearly identified Federal candidate. The calculation of disbursements for the total Federal and non-Federal disbursements shall also be limited to disbursements for specific candidates, and shall not include overhead or other generic costs. 11 CFR 106.6(c)(1).

- 1 *1. Given that ABC's "express purpose" [2] [20] and "message" [26] is "the election and*  
2 *defeat" [26] [20] of particular Federal candidates,*  
3 *(a) May ABC, or its agents, solicit or direct non-Federal funds [47]?*  
4 *(b) May ABC use non-Federal funds to pay for any of its activities [26] [27]<sup>6</sup>?*  
5

6 The paragraphs of your request addressed in this question largely present general  
7 questions of interpretation, and thus do not qualify as an advisory opinion request. 11 CFR  
8 112.1(b). As explained above, political committees may maintain Federal and non-Federal  
9 accounts, 11 CFR 102.5, and may allocate certain payments between Federal funds and  
10 non-Federal funds, *see, e.g.*, 11 CFR 106.6(b)(2)(iii) (allocation of expenses for generic  
11 voter drives by non-connected political committees). Thus, ABC may raise non-Federal  
12 funds, and spend such funds as permitted by the Act.<sup>7</sup> More specific guidance is provided  
13 below in the context of more specific questions.

14

15 *Coordination with Federal Candidates and Political Party Committees*

16 *[9],[11] to [17], [18], [28] to [36], and [62]*

17 Several paragraphs of your advisory opinion request directly raise issues as to  
18 "coordination" between ABC and candidates for Federal office and/or political party  
19 committees. *See, e.g.*, paragraphs [11]-[17], [18] (second question), [28]-[36], and [62] of  
20 your request. Under 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(7) and 11 CFR 109.20(a), "coordinated" means,

---

<sup>6</sup> The Commission does not address the questions in paragraph [27] pertaining to the activities of donors of non-Federal funds. These are activities of third parties and not that of the requestor. *See* 11 CFR 112.1(b) (which states that requests regarding the activities of third parties do not qualify as advisory opinion requests). Indeed, your request could implicate many third parties, who may find themselves in a wide variety of circumstances.

<sup>7</sup> An expenditure is considered to be a contribution to a candidate when it is "made by any person in cooperation, consultation, or concert, with, or at the request or suggestion of," that candidate, the authorized committee of that candidate, or their agents. 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(7)(B)(i). Also, an expenditure is not "independent" if it is "made in cooperation, consultation, or concert, with, or at the request or suggestion of," a candidate, authorized committee, or a political party committee. *See* 11 CFR 100.16.

1 "made in cooperation, consultation, or concert with, or at the request or suggestion of, a  
2 candidate, a candidate's authorized committee, or their agents . . . ." The regulations in 11  
3 CFR 109.21 set forth a three-pronged test for the purpose of determining whether a  
4 communication is coordinated with one or more candidates for Federal election, an  
5 authorized committee, a political party committee, or an agent of any of the foregoing. If  
6 the three-pronged test is satisfied, then the payments for the communication are made for  
7 the purpose of influencing a Federal election, and therefore constitute in-kind contributions.  
8 First, the communication must be paid for by someone other than that candidate, authorized  
9 committee, political party committee, or an agent of any of the foregoing. 11 CFR  
10 109.21(a)(1); *see also* 11 CFR 109.37. The second prong is a "content standard" regarding  
11 the subject matter of the communication. 11 CFR 109.21(a)(2). Four types of  
12 communications satisfy the content standard: (1) a public communication that expressly  
13 advocates the election or defeat of a clearly identified Federal candidate (no matter when  
14 made); (2) a public communication that disseminates, distributes or republishes campaign  
15 materials (no matter when made); (3) electioneering communications; and (4) a public  
16 communication directed to voters in a particular area that refers to a political party or a  
17 clearly identified Federal candidate and that is disseminated 120 days or fewer before a  
18 primary, general, special or runoff election. *See* 11 CFR 109.21(c). The third prong is a  
19 "conduct standard" regarding the interactions between the person paying for the  
20 communication and the candidate, an authorized committee, a political party committee, or  
21 agents of the foregoing. 11 CFR 109.21(a)(3). These conduct standards include: (1)  
22 "requests or suggestions" for communications by candidates or political party committees;  
23 (2) substantial discussions regarding candidate or political party campaign plans, projects,

1 activities, or needs; (3) "material involvement" in the making and airing of  
2 communications; (4) the involvement of "common vendors;" and (5) the involvement of  
3 individuals who were formerly employees or independent contractors of a candidate, an  
4 authorized committee, or a political party committee. 11 CFR 109.21(d)(1) – (5).<sup>8</sup>

5 The Commission cannot resolve, without more specific information, whether the  
6 communications you generally describe are coordinated communications. As such, your  
7 questions about coordination are hypothetical, presenting general questions of interpretation  
8 of the Act, rather than specific transactions or activities, and are thus not proper for an  
9 advisory opinion. 2 U.S.C. 437f(a)(1); 11 CFR 112.1(b).<sup>9</sup>

10 In addition to the paragraphs of your request that directly raise issues about  
11 coordination, discussed above, some of your other paragraphs raise the possibility that a  
12 number of ABC's planned activities described below might be coordinated with one or  
13 more candidates for Federal office, authorized committees of Federal candidates, political  
14 party committees, or the agents of any of the foregoing. *See, e.g.*, paragraphs [6]-[8], [24]-

---

<sup>8</sup> In order to meet this fifth conduct standard, the former employee or independent contractor must use or convey information. 11 CFR 109.21(d)(5). The Commission considered and rejected a standard in which previous employment would, by itself, be sufficient to satisfy this conduct standard. *See* 68 Fed. Reg. at 438 ("The Commission notes that the final rule focuses only on the use or conveyance of information that is material to a subsequent communication and does not in any way prohibit or discourage the subsequent employment of those who have previously worked for a candidate's campaign or a political party committee.")

<sup>9</sup> In paragraph [9] you ask whether an individual contributing non-Federal funds to ABC "for the express purposes of 'reelecting the President' or 'defeating' his Democratic opponent" may also hold a fundraiser for the President. This question relates entirely to the activities of a third-party contributor and you do not supply any indication that you are an agent of that potential contributor or are otherwise authorized to request an advisory opinion on that person's behalf. Under the Act and Commission regulations, a request on behalf of a requesting person must be made by an "authorized agent of such person." 2 U.S.C. 437f(a)(1) and 11 CFR 112.1(a). As your question concerns the activities of a third party, it is not a proper advisory opinion request.

1 [27],<sup>10</sup> [38]-[39], [48]-[49], [52]-[54], [57]-[60], [64], and [67]-[70] of your request. To the  
2 extent that the activities you describe in those questions would result in a coordinated  
3 communication within the meaning the meaning of 11 CFR 109.21, the payment for such  
4 communications would constitute an in-kind contribution to a candidate for Federal office  
5 or to a political party committee. Such contributions must be paid for entirely with Federal  
6 funds and are subject to ABC's contribution limits under 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(1) or (2). Thus,  
7 the Commission conditions its responses to the questions discussed below on the  
8 assumption that these activities are not coordinated with a Federal candidate, authorized  
9 committee, political party committee, or agents of any of the foregoing. 2 U.S.C. 441a(a);  
10 11 CFR 100.52(d)(1), 11 CFR 109.20 and 109.21.<sup>11</sup>

11

12 *Coordination with non-profit organizations*

13

14 2. *You ask whether ABC may coordinate its activities with entities that are constituted as*  
15 *either IRC §527 political organizations or section 501(c)(3) organizations, and that are*  
16 *not Federal political committees. [35] and [36]*

17

18 While the Act and Commission regulations at 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(7)(B) and 11 CFR  
19 Part 109 provide specific consequences for coordination with a candidate for Federal office,  
20 a candidate's authorized committee, or a political party committee, neither the Act nor  
21 Commission regulations expressly address coordination with a political committee and 527

---

<sup>10</sup> While you state in paragraphs 24 and 25 that the contemplated activities will not be coordinated with a Federal candidate, you do not address whether the activities will be coordinated with a political party committee or its agents.

<sup>11</sup> The Act, as amended by BCRA, and Commission regulations prohibit national committees of political parties, their officers and agents, and any entities established, financed, maintained or controlled by such committees from soliciting, receiving, spending, or directing to another person, non-Federal funds (i.e., funds that are not subject to the limitations, prohibitions, or reporting requirements of the Act). 2 U.S.C. 441i(a); 11 CFR 300.10. For the purposes of this opinion, the Commission accepts your representation that ABC is an independent political committee that is not affiliated with any Federal candidate, Federal officeholder or political party.

1 political organizations or section 501(c)(3) organizations. Thus, ABC is not categorically  
2 prohibited from consulting with, or acting in concert with these other organizations.  
3 However, depending on the particular circumstances, such cooperation could be a factor  
4 leading to a conclusion that ABC controls or is otherwise affiliated with such a group, that  
5 the group is acting as ABC's agent, or that the group has made an in-kind contribution to  
6 ABC.

7 The Commission expresses no opinion regarding qualification for tax treatment  
8 under 26 U.S.C. 527 or any other ramifications of the proposed activities under the Internal  
9 Revenue Code because those questions are outside the Commission's jurisdiction.

10 *Agency*

11

12 Your advisory opinion request presents numerous facts and questions that raise  
13 issues as to whether an individual is an agent<sup>12</sup> of one or more organizations, including  
14 ABC. *See, e.g.*, paragraphs [12], [15], and [29]. The Commission cannot determine  
15 whether particular individuals are agents of ABC or other persons without more specific  
16 information. As the Commission previously noted in the Explanation and Justification for  
17 11 CFR Part 109, it is difficult to determine whether an individual is acting as an "agent" in  
18 the abstract because "[t]he grant and scope of the actual authority, whether the person is  
19 acting within the scope of his or her actual authority, and whether he or she is acting on

---

<sup>12</sup> Although Congress did not define the term "agent" in BCRA, the Commission has promulgated regulations at 11 CFR 300.2(b) that define an "agent" of a Federal candidate or officeholder for purposes of 11 CFR Part 300 (*i.e.*, the "soft-money" rules enacted to implement BCRA) as "any person who has actual authority, either express or implied," "to solicit, receive, direct, transfer or spend funds in connection with any election." The Commission also promulgated a similar definition of "agent" solely for the purposes of 11 CFR Part 109, which primarily addresses coordination between a person paying for a communication and a Federal candidate, authorized committee, or political party committee. 11 CFR 109.3. The Commission explained that this definition of "agent" is "based on the same concept that the Commission used in framing the definition of 'agent'" in part 300, described above. 68 Fed. Reg. 421, 423 (Jan. 3, 2003).

1 behalf of the principal or a different person, are factual determinations that are necessarily  
2 evaluated on a case-by-case basis in accordance with traditional agency principles." 68  
3 Fed. Reg. 421, 425. Therefore, to the extent your questions require a determination of  
4 whether a particular person is an agent of ABC or another organization, the questions are  
5 hypothetical and present general questions of interpretation of the Act, rather than specific  
6 transactions or activities, and are thus not proper for an advisory opinion. 2 U.S.C.  
7 437f(a)(1); 11 CFR 112.1(b). Furthermore, in addressing the questions you present in this  
8 request, the Commission assumes without deciding that ABC directors and staff are not  
9 agents of a candidate for Federal office, an authorized committee of such candidate, a  
10 political party committee, or any other individual or entity.

11 *Communications*

12

13 3. *You indicate that ABC may fund a communication that states: "President George W.*  
14 *Bush, Senator X and Representative Y have led the fight in Congress for a stronger*  
15 *defense and stronger economy. Call them and tell them to keep fighting for you." May*  
16 *ABC pay for this communication containing no express advocacy solely with donations*  
17 *from individuals that exceed the Act's limitations? [6], [7]*

18

19

20 No. The communication you intend to produce would promote or support  
21 candidates for Federal office by proclaiming that those candidates have "led the fight in  
22 Congress for a stronger defense and stronger economy." As explained above, a payment by  
23 a political committee for a communication that promotes, supports, attacks, or opposes a  
24 clearly identified Federal candidate is "for the purpose of influencing a Federal election,"  
25 and therefore an "expenditure" within the meaning of 2 U.S.C. 431(9) that must be paid for  
26 entirely with Federal funds. Consequently, because the payments for the communications  
you propose to run will be expenditures regulated under the Act, ABC must pay for these

1 ads entirely with funds that comply with the Act's various limitations, including individual  
2 contribution limitations.

3

4 4. *May ABC pay for communications within 60 days of a general election with funds from*  
5 *corporations, labor organizations, and trade associations, if the message is "President*  
6 *Bush is a strong leader. The War on Terror, cutting taxes, putting families and working*  
7 *people first. He has provided strong, common sense leadership for this nation. Call*  
8 *President Bush and tell him to keep fighting for a strong America."? [64A]*  
9

10 The messages given as examples of these communications (see Exh. E to the  
11 request, referred to in paragraph [64]), which you describe as "issue ads," promote, support,  
12 attack, or oppose a clearly identified Federal candidate, and must be paid for by ABC  
13 entirely with Federal funds. Because Federal funds cannot include corporate, labor  
14 organization, or incorporated trade association funds, ABC cannot pay for communications  
15 that promote, support, attack, or oppose a Federal candidate, with corporate, labor  
16 organization, or incorporated trade association funds.

17

18 5. *May ABC pay for "issue ads" within 60 days of a general election with corporate,*  
19 *labor organization, or trade association funds? [8]*  
20

21 In paragraph [8], you ask generally "[s]ince ABC is an unincorporated entity, may it  
22 broadcast issue ads within 60 days of a general election paid for with funds that include  
23 contributions from corporations, unions and trade associations?" Because you do not  
24 provide a script for the "issue ads" referred to in paragraph [8], this is a hypothetical  
25 question calling for general interpretation of the Act. Thus, it is not a proper advisory  
26 opinion request. 2 U.S.C. 437f(a)(1); 11 CFR 112.1(b).

27

1 6. *ABC wishes to run communications, such as that which is attached to your request at*  
2 *Exhibit E, on television and radio within sixty days of a general election mentioning*  
3 *President Bush but not expressly advocating his election. May ABC fund these ads with*  
4 *donations from individuals in amounts that exceed Federal limits? [64B]*  
5

6 No. The communication you intend to produce would promote or support a  
7 candidate for Federal office by proclaiming "President Bush is a strong leader" who "has  
8 provided strong, common-sense leadership for this nation." As explained above, a payment  
9 by a political committee for a communication that promotes, supports, attacks, or opposes a  
10 clearly identified Federal candidate is "for the purpose of influencing a Federal election,"  
11 and therefore an "expenditure" within the meaning of 2 U.S.C. 431(9) that must be paid for  
12 entirely with Federal funds. Consequently, because the communications you propose to run  
13 will be expenditures, ABC must pay for these ads entirely with funds that comply with the  
14 Act's various limitations, including individual contribution limitations of 2 U.S.C. 441a(a).  
15 Whether the ad is run less than or more than 60 days before a general election is not  
16 relevant.

17  
18 7. *ABC wishes to run television and radio ads, such as those which are attached to your*  
19 *request at Exhibit E, more than sixty days before the general election that state that*  
20 *President Bush is a "strong leader" who "has provided strong, common-sense*  
21 *leadership for this nation." May ABC fund these ads with either Federal or non-*  
22 *Federal funds? [65]*  
23

24 As discussed above, a payment by a political committee for a communication that  
25 promotes, supports, attacks, or opposes a clearly identified Federal candidate is "for the  
26 purpose of influencing a Federal election," and therefore an "expenditure" within the  
27 meaning of section 431(9), that must be paid for entirely with Federal funds. The  
28 communications you intend to produce would promote or support a candidate for Federal  
29 office. The communications about which you inquire in paragraph [65] praise President

1 Bush as a "strong leader" who "has provided strong, common sense leadership for this  
2 nation," and are therefore expenditures regulated by the Act. ABC must pay for these ads  
3 entirely with Federal funds.

4

5 *Voter Registration, GOTV, and Voter Identification Activities*

6 You ask a number of questions about funding for proposed communications tied to  
7 what you describe as voter registration and get-out-the vote ("GOTV") activities. These  
8 questions are found at paragraphs [5], [6], [18 first question], [19], [26], [48], [52] through  
9 [61], [69], and [70]. They present a variety of messages in a variety of media, including  
10 direct mail, phone banks, and door-to-door distribution of material. You also ask about a  
11 specific voter identification communication [67]. With respect to some paragraphs, you  
12 also ask about the implications of BCRA on specific types of Federal election activity, as  
13 defined at 2 U.S.C. 431(20)(A)(i) and (ii) and 11 CFR 100.24(b)(1) and (2).<sup>13</sup> However, as  
14 noted above, the Commission accepts the representation that ABC is not established,  
15 financed, maintained or controlled by a national, State, district or local party committee.  
16 Therefore, the provisions of 2 U.S.C. 441i that turn on those types of Federal election  
17 activity *as applied to party committees* do not apply to ABC as if it were a party committee.

18 As explained above, ABC will fund activity that will be used, entirely or in part, for  
19 the purpose of influencing a Federal election. See 2 U.S.C. 431(9)(A)(i). Commission  
20 regulations address: (1) communications by political committees that involve expenditures  
21 on behalf of clearly identified Federal candidates and/or disbursements on behalf of clearly

---

<sup>13</sup> These include your references in paragraph [51] to voter registration activity that occurs more than 120 days before a Federal election and within the 120-day period and the reference in paragraph [58] to GOTV activity that occurs within 72 hours of a Federal election and before that time period.

1 identified non-Federal candidates, at 11 CFR 106.1; and (2) communications by political  
2 committees that are for voter identification, voter registration or GOTV purposes that are  
3 not coordinated with a candidate and that do not mention a clearly identified candidate, at  
4 11 CFR 106.6(b)(2)(iii). Funding for such messages will depend in large measure on the  
5 application of the provisions in 11 CFR Part 106.

6

7 8. *May ABC use non-Federal funds to pay for voter registration and get-out-the-vote*  
8 *public communications that clearly identify a Federal candidate and that expressly*  
9 *advocate his election or defeat or otherwise promote, support, attack, or oppose the*  
10 *candidate? This question refers to communications in paragraphs [5], [6], [26], [52],*  
11 *[57], and [69]. This question also pertains to questions [54] and [60].*

12

13 No. Some of the messages contain specific phrases such as “vote for George W.  
14 Bush for President, “ or “It’s your duty to register to vote so that you can support George  
15 Bush’s reelection as President of the United States.” These communications constitute  
16 express advocacy under 11 CFR 100.22(a).<sup>14</sup> Other messages refer directly to an explicit  
17 act of support for a clearly identified candidate, such as “If you care about keeping the  
18 strong defense President Bush has put in place, go out and vote November 2.” These  
19 messages promote, support, attack, or oppose a clearly identified candidate. Other  
20 messages promote, support, attack, or oppose a clearly identified Federal candidate in a  
21 different way. These include messages such as “President Bush has led the fight in

---

<sup>14</sup> Specifically, 11 CFR 100.22(a) provides that “expressly advocating” means any communication that “[u]ses phrases such as ‘vote for the President,’ ‘re-elect your Congressman,’ ‘support the Democratic nominee,’ ‘cast your ballot for the Republican challenger for U.S. Senate in Georgia,’ ‘Smith for Congress,’ ‘Bill McKay in ‘94,’ ‘vote Pro-Life’ or ‘vote pro-Choice’ accompanied by a listing of clearly identified candidates described as Pro-Life or Pro-Choice, ‘vote against Old Hickory,’ ‘defeat’ accompanied by a picture of one or more candidate(s), ‘reject the incumbent,’ or communications of campaign slogan(s) or individual word(s), which in context can have no other meaning than to urge the election or defeat of one or more clearly identified candidate(s), such as posters, bumper stickers, advertisements, etc. which say ‘Nixon’s the One,’ ‘Carter ‘76,’ ‘Reagan/Bush’ or ‘Mondale!’ . . .”

1 Congress for a stronger defense and economy. Call him and tell him to keep fighting for  
2 you.”

3 The communications in paragraphs [26] (first message), [52], [54] (first message),  
4 [57], and [60] (first message) present messages that clearly identify only one Federal  
5 candidate and expressly advocate his election. 11 CFR 100.22(a). Payment for such a  
6 message would be an expenditure allocable entirely to that candidate and must be paid for  
7 entirely with Federal funds. 11 CFR 106.1(a). Although the message in paragraph [57]  
8 also refers generically to “the entire Republican team,” 11 CFR 106.1 requires the  
9 apportionment of the entire cost to the only candidate mentioned.<sup>15</sup> This is a  
10 communication that is for the purpose of influencing the election of a clearly identified  
11 Federal candidate, President Bush, without identifying any other Federal or non-Federal  
12 candidate.

13 The communication in paragraph [5] expressly advocates the election of three  
14 clearly identified candidates, two Federal and one non-Federal. Based on the content of the  
15 message, 11 CFR 106.1(a) would require allocation among the three candidates, and a  
16 reasonable allocation would require that two-thirds of the cost be paid with funds from the  
17 Federal account.

---

<sup>15</sup> Recently, the Commission promulgated new regulations carving out an exception for State, district, and local party committee phone banks where the phone script would ask people to show support for a clearly identified Federal candidate and generically refers to other candidates of the Federal candidate’s party without identifying them by name. *See* 11 CFR 106.8; 68 Fed. Reg. 64517 (November 14, 2003). Rather than require the party committee to allocate 100 percent of the cost as an expenditure on behalf of the Federal candidate (or a coordinated expenditure or contribution in the event of coordination), the Commission chose to require the allocation of only 50 percent to the Federal candidate, although it still required the use of only Federal funds for the entire expense, based on the likelihood that the phone bank message would be a public communication. The Commission explicitly limited this rulemaking to phone banks and only addressed party committees, which are subject to the rule at 11 CFR 300.33(c)(1) that its public communications that promote, support, attack, or oppose a clearly identified Federal candidate must be paid for entirely with Federal funds. *See* 68 Fed. Reg. at 64518-19.

1           The second message in paragraph [26] does not expressly advocate the election of  
2   President Bush, but it says that “[t]he economy under President Bush has never been better”  
3   and continues with a statement that it is the recipient’s duty to register to vote. Thus, the  
4   message promotes or supports his election and, since President Bush is the only candidate  
5   clearly identified, the message must be paid for entirely with Federal funds under 11 CFR  
6   106.1. Similarly, the message in paragraph [69] promotes President Bush by name, and  
7   thus requires the use of only Federal funds.

8           The message described in paragraph [6] promotes or supports the three individuals  
9   mentioned, all of whom are Federal officeholders. President Bush is a Federal candidate,  
10   and the Commission assumes that neither Senator X nor Representative Y is a non-Federal  
11   candidate, and that one or both may be Federal candidates. Only Federal funds may be  
12   used.<sup>16</sup>

13

14   9. *May ABC use non-Federal funds to pay for voter registration and GOTV public*  
15   *communications that do not mention a clearly identified Federal candidate, and that*  
16   *are targeted to geographic areas or demographic voter groups that have been identified*  
17   *as Republican based on earlier voter identification efforts? This question refers to*  
18   *communications in paragraphs [53], [59], and [70], and pertains also to paragraphs*  
19   *[54] and [60].*  
20

---

<sup>16</sup> In paragraph [5], you ask whether the “prohibition” in 11 CFR 100.26 on “general public political advertising” pertains to materials handed out door to door (and not through mass mailings or public communications) by an “unincorporated non-Federal section 527 committee if those materials expressly advocate the election or defeat of a Federal candidate. The Commission notes that 11 CFR 100.26 contains no prohibition but merely defines the term “public communications.” A communication, such as the one in paragraph 5 that expressly advocates the election or defeat of a Federal candidate, or the one in paragraph 6, that promotes Federal candidates, is an expenditure (in part, as to paragraph 5) regardless of whether it qualifies as a public communication. The characterization as a “public communication” would only be relevant if ABC were a party committee.

1           As a non-connected political committee, ABC must allocate payments for these  
2 activities between its Federal and non-Federal accounts because they fall within 11 CFR  
3 106.6, or it may pay for these communications with entirely Federal funds.

4           Paragraphs [53] and [54] (second message) involve voter registration messages by  
5 ABC, a Federal political committee, that do not mention specific candidates but urge the  
6 general public to support candidates associated with particular positions on issues.

7 Paragraph [53] includes the statement, "From the war on terror, to cutting taxes, to  
8 improving education – we all have a duty to elect leaders who put America first and not the  
9 liberal special interest groups." The messages in paragraphs [53] and [54] (second  
10 message) would be allocable under 11 CFR 106.6, and may be paid for with non-Federal  
11 funds only to the extent permitted under 11 CFR 106.6(c). The same analysis applies to the  
12 directed GOTV messages referred to in paragraphs [59] and [60] (second message).

13 Paragraph 69 includes the sentence, "From the war on terror, to cutting taxes, to improving  
14 education – we all have a duty to elect leaders who will put America first and not the liberal  
15 special interest groups."<sup>17</sup>

16           Paragraph [70] merely states that Joe Smith is calling on behalf of ABC and asks the  
17 recipient to vote on November 2, without words encouraging support for candidates of any  
18 party or associated with any position on any issue. This communication does not mention  
19 any clearly identified candidate. Thus, it is a generic GOTV communication that must be  
20 either paid for with entirely Federal funds, or allocated under 11 CFR 106.6 between  
21 ABC's Federal and non-Federal accounts.

---

<sup>17</sup> Paragraph [59] refers to the message at issue in paragraph [58]. Paragraph [58] does not by itself refer to the targeting of communications presented in paragraph [59] and will be discussed below.

1

2 **10. May ABC use non-Federal funds to pay for voter registration and GOTV public**  
3 **communications that do not mention a clearly identified Federal candidate and that are**  
4 **not targeted to geographic areas or demographic groups that have been identified as**  
5 **Republican based on earlier voter identification efforts? [58]**  
6

7 In paragraph [58], you do not refer to any partisan targeting of the audience that will  
8 receive this message. Nevertheless, this is a voter registration message distributed by a  
9 non-connected political committee that urges support for candidates associated with  
10 positions on particular issues. See Exhibit D to the request. Thus, the communication falls  
11 within the definition of “generic voter drive” at 11 CFR 106.6(b)(2)(iii) and must be paid  
12 for, at least in part, with Federal funds under 11 CFR 106.6(c). As explained above, the  
13 portion of this generic voter drive that may be paid for with non-Federal funds is set forth in  
14 11 CFR 106.6(c).

15

16 **11. How would prior contributions by ABC to candidates affect ABC's subsequent voter**  
17 **registration and GOTV activities with respect to those candidates? [18], [19].**  
18

19 Essentially, you ask whether a prior contribution by ABC to a Federal candidate  
20 would lead to a conclusion that subsequent GOTV activities with respect to the candidate  
21 would be viewed as coordinated expenditures and constitute in-kind contributions to the  
22 candidate subject to the amount limitations and source prohibitions of the Act. The  
23 Commission concludes that a prior contribution by ABC to the candidate, in and of itself,  
24 does not establish that ABC's GOTV activities are coordinated with the candidate.

25

26 **12. How would ABC's funding of voter registration or GOTV messages be affected by the**  
27 **fundraising for such expenses, including the content of the messages used to raise the**  
28 **funds? This pertains to paragraphs [55] and [61].**

1  
2 Paragraphs [55] and [61] ask if certain types of funds may be used for voter  
3 registration or GOTV messages if the solicitation for the funds mentions a specific Federal  
4 candidate but the eventual voter registration or GOTV communication does not. An  
5 example of such a solicitation is "Give money to an effort [or 'to a GOTV effort'] that will  
6 help President Bush and Republican candidates;" an example of the subsequent GOTV  
7 communication is, "Go out and vote. The election is important. It's your civic duty." You  
8 do not provide an example for a voter registration communication subsequent to the  
9 solicitation but, based on your question in paragraph [55], the Commission assumes that the  
10 language is similar to the GOTV communication.

11 These two questions implicate two different activities of ABC, its fundraising  
12 activities and its generic spending.

13 2 U.S.C. 431(8) provides that a contribution includes "any gift, subscription, loan  
14 advance, or deposit of money or anything of value for the purpose of influencing any  
15 election for Federal office." The fundraising messages in paragraphs [55] and [61] promote  
16 or support a clearly identified Federal candidate and do not identify any other candidates.  
17 Based on this solicitation, these funds are being raised to influence a Federal election.  
18 Therefore, the contributions raised will be subject to the contribution limits and source  
19 prohibitions of the Act, and ABC may not raise non-Federal funds using those fundraising  
20 messages.<sup>18</sup> To avoid the receipt of contributions in violation of the Act, ABC should make  
21 clear in its solicitations that it may accept only contributions within the limitations and

---

<sup>18</sup> These communications are not a mixed Federal/non-Federal fundraising activity and therefore are not subject to 11 CFR 106.6(d).

1 prohibitions of the Act or provide other information consistent with that. *See* 11 CFR  
2 102.5(a)(2)(ii).

3 As indicated above, the subsequent voter registration or GOTV messages will not  
4 refer to a Federal candidate, a political party, or generically to candidates supporting  
5 positions on specific issues. They do not have to be funded entirely with Federal funds.  
6 However, these subsequent messages must be funded as generic voter drive expenses that  
7 are allocable in accordance with 11 CFR 106.6(c).<sup>19</sup>

8

9 *13. Do donors violate the Act by donating non-federal funds to the massive voter*  
10 *mobilization effort directed at the general public with the stated purpose (i.e., express*  
11 *advocacy) of defeating a named Federal candidate? If so, are they subject to criminal*  
12 *penalties if they know from fundraising appeals that the purpose of their contribution is*  
13 *the defeat of a specific Federal candidate? Does it matter if the stated public purpose is*  
14 *the defeat of a specific candidate but all the messages themselves from ABC do not*  
15 *contain express advocacy? [48]*

16

17 Requests pertaining to the activities of a third party do not qualify as advisory  
18 opinion requests. 11 CFR 112.1(b). Moreover, an advisory opinion request must include a  
19 complete description of all facts relevant to the specific transaction. 11 CFR 112.1(c).  
20 Paragraph [48] presents questions as to the activities of third parties, including potentially  
21 large numbers of donors in a variety of circumstances. Finally, the Commission notes that  
22 it does not have authority to impose criminal penalties, and thus offers no opinion as to  
23 whether any conduct would be subject to them.

---

<sup>19</sup> The Commission notes that the definition of "generic voter drives" in 11 CFR 106.6(b)(2)(iii) includes "voter identification, voter registration, and get-out-the-vote-drives, or any other activities that urge the general public to register, vote or support candidates of a particular party or associated with a particular issue, without mentioning a specific candidate." The plain reading of this language indicates that the phrase "candidates of a particular party or associated with a particular issue" applies to the word "support" but does not apply to the other activities mentioned in the regulation.

1 ***14. May ABC use non-Federal funds to pay for voter identification communications that***  
2 ***clearly identify a Federal candidate and that ask questions in a manner that promotes***  
3 ***his candidacy? [67]***  
4

5 **No. Paragraph [67] refers to mass mailings and telephone banks to identify voters,**  
6 **which candidates they support, and which issues motivate them. The message will contain**  
7 **several questions such as “Do you believe your taxes are too high?” and “Are you in favor**  
8 **of improving education?”, along with questions such as “Are you in favor of President**  
9 **Bush’s efforts to lower taxes?” or his “efforts to improve education?” or his “efforts for a**  
10 **strong defense?” The communication will finish with a question as to whether the reader or**  
11 **listener intends to vote on November 2. No other candidate is mentioned. This**  
12 **communication promotes and supports President Bush by referencing his “efforts to**  
13 **improve education” and his “efforts for a strong defense.” Consistent with the analysis**  
14 **above, it must be paid for entirely with Federal funds.**

15

16 ***Fundraising***

17

18 ***15. ABC wishes to have Federal officeholders and candidates assist in its fundraising***  
19 ***activities as permitted by the Act. May Federal officeholders and candidates raise***  
20 ***funds for ABC’s Federal account? [38]***  
21

22

23 **Yes, a Federal officeholder or candidate may solicit funds for ABC’s Federal**  
24 **account if he or she only asks for Federally permissible funds. See Advisory Opinions**  
25 **2003-36, 2003-5 and 2003-3.**

26 **11 CFR 102.5(a)(2) provides that contributions that were designated for ABC’s**  
27 **Federal account, or that result from a solicitation that expressly states that the contribution**  
28 **will be used in connection with a Federal election, or that are from contributors who have**  
**been informed that all contributions are subject to the prohibitions and limitations of the**

1 Act, may be deposited in ABC's Federal account. *See, e.g.*, Advisory Opinion 2000-25.  
2 Because ABC is a political committee, contributions to ABC's Federal account must meet  
3 at least one of these criteria.

4

5 *16. ABC wishes to have Federal officeholders and candidates assist in its fundraising*  
6 *activities as permitted by the Act. May Federal officeholders and candidates raise*  
7 *funds for ABC's non-Federal account? [39]*

8

9 Yes, if the Federal officeholders or candidates only ask for funds that are not in  
10 excess of the amounts permitted with respect to contributions to candidates under 2 U.S.C.  
11 441a(a), and that are not from sources prohibited by the Act from making contributions in  
12 connection with an election for Federal office.

13 As amended by BCRA, the Act regulates certain actions of Federal candidates and  
14 officeholders,<sup>20</sup> their agents,<sup>21</sup> and entities directly or indirectly established, financed,  
15 maintained, or controlled by them<sup>22</sup> (together, "covered persons") when they raise or spend  
16 funds in connection with either Federal or non-Federal elections. 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1). Both  
17 BCRA and the Commission's rules implementing BCRA prohibit covered persons from  
18 soliciting, receiving, directing, transferring, or spending "funds in connection with any  
19 election other than an election for Federal office" "unless the funds are not in excess of the  
20 amounts permitted with respect to contributions to candidates and political committees"  
21 under 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(1), (2), and (3), and are not from sources prohibited by the Act from

---

<sup>20</sup> Under 2 U.S.C. 431(3), "Federal office" means "the office of President or Vice President, or of Senator or Representative in, or Delegate or Resident Commissioner to, the Congress." *See also* 11 CFR 100.4.

<sup>21</sup> 11 CFR 300.2(b)(3).

<sup>22</sup> 11 CFR 300.60.

1 making contributions in connection with an election for Federal office. 2 U.S.C.

2 441i(e)(1)(B); 11 CFR 300.62.<sup>23</sup> See Advisory Opinions 2003-36 and 2003-03.

3

4 *17. May Federal officeholders or candidates attend and/or speak at fundraising events for*  
5 *ABC's non-Federal account that raises funds outside the Act's contribution and source*  
6 *limitations? [40] and [41]*

7

8 Yes, Federal officeholders and candidates may attend and speak at fundraising

9 events for ABC's non-Federal account that raise funds outside the Act's contribution limits

10 and source prohibitions, but they may not solicit funds that are outside the amount

11 limitations and source prohibitions of the Act. Advisory Opinions 2003-36 and 2003-03.

12 The scope of a covered person's potential liability under 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(B) and

13 11 CFR 300.62 must be determined by his or her own speech and actions in asking for

14 funds or those of his or her agents, but not by the speech or actions of another person

15 outside his or her control. Commission regulations establish that a Federal officeholder or

16 candidate will not be held liable for soliciting funds in violation of section 441i(e)(1)(B) or

17 section 300.62 merely by virtue of attending or participating in any manner in connection

18 with a fundraising event at which non-Federal funds are raised. To be liable, the Federal

19 candidate must "ask" for non-Federal funds. *Id.*, see also, "Prohibited and Excessive

20 Contributions: Non-Federal Funds or Soft Money; Final Rule," 67 Fed. Reg. 49,064,

21 49,086-49,087 (July 29, 2002). Thus, the scope of a covered person's potential liability

22 under 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1) and 11 CFR 300.62 will be determined by his or her own speech

---

<sup>23</sup> Under the Act, the following persons may not contribute in connection with a Federal election: National banks, corporations, and labor organizations (2 U.S.C. 441b); Federal government contractors (2 U.S.C. 441c); and foreign nationals (2 U.S.C. 441e). It is unlawful for the following persons to contribute or donate in connection with any election: National banks and corporations organized by authority of Congress (2 U.S.C. 441b) and foreign nationals (2 U.S.C. 441e).

1 and actions in asking for funds or those of his or her agents, but not by the speech or actions  
2 of another person outside his or her control. *See* Advisory Opinions 2003-36, 2003-05 and  
3 2003-03.

4 If a covered person makes a solicitation, such solicitation must include or be  
5 accompanied by a clear and conspicuous message indicating that the covered individual is  
6 only asking for funds that comply with the amount limitations and source prohibitions  
7 of the Act. The following is considered to be an adequate disclaimer:

8 I am asking for a donation of up to \$5,000 per year. I am not asking for  
9 funds from corporations, labor organizations, or other Federally prohibited  
10 sources.

11  
12 If a covered person gives a speech soliciting funds generally without mentioning specific  
13 amounts, sources, or limitations, he may do so if written notices are clearly and  
14 conspicuously displayed at the event indicating that the covered person is soliciting only  
15 Federally permissible funds;<sup>24</sup> or if a public oral disclaimer is made. *See* Advisory  
16 Opinions 2003-36 and 2003-03.

17

18 *18. May Federal officeholders or candidates be named in the invitation as honored guests,*  
19 *or as featured speakers, or as hosts, for fundraising events for ABC's non-Federal*  
20 *account? [42]*

21

22 Yes, within the limits of 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(B) and 11 CFR 300.62. Section  
23 441i(e)(1)(B) and section 300.62 only apply to an invitation to an event where that  
24 invitation constitutes a solicitation for funds, and where the covered person approved,  
25 authorized, or agreed or consented to be featured, or named in, the invitation (e.g., through  
26 the use of his name or likeness). The mere mention of a covered person in the text of a

---

<sup>24</sup> *See* 11 CFR 110.11(c) for the Commission's interpretation of "clear and conspicuous" in related contexts.

1 written invitation does not, without more, constitute a solicitation or direction of non-  
2 Federal funds by that covered person. However, a candidate's consent or agreement to be  
3 mentioned in an invitation as an honored guest, featured speaker or host, where that  
4 invitation is a solicitation, constitutes a solicitation by the candidate. Thus, if a candidate  
5 agrees or consents to be named in a fundraising solicitation as an honored guest, featured  
6 speaker or host, or if the invitation constitutes a solicitation for any other reason, then the  
7 solicitation must contain a clear and conspicuous statement that it is limited to funds that  
8 comply with the amount limits and source prohibitions of the Act. *See generally* Advisory  
9 Opinions 2003-36 and 2003-03. Complying with these requirements regarding the written  
10 invitation does not relieve the covered person of the requirements as to his or her actual  
11 appearance at the subsequent event as an honored guest or featured speaker, as set out in the  
12 response to questions 16 and 17. The requirements set out in response to question 17 as to  
13 the speech and disclaimer by the covered person, clear and conspicuous written notices, and  
14 conversations by the covered person are still applicable.

15

16 *19. May Federal officeholders or candidates sign written solicitations for the non-Federal*  
17 *account that raise funds outside the Act's contribution and source limitations? [43]*

18 *No. Federal officeholders and candidates may not sign written solicitations for*

19 *ABC's non-Federal account that raise funds outside the Act's contribution limits and source*  
20 *prohibitions. 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(B); 11 CFR 300.62; Advisory Opinion 2003-03.*

21

22 *20. If Federal officeholders or candidates cannot speak or participate in a fundraising*  
23 *event for ABC's non-Federal account, may ABC have a fundraiser for its Federal*  
24 *account with the Federal officeholders and candidates present, and then immediately*  
25 *adjourn to an adjacent location for a non-Federal soft dollar fundraising event at which*  
26 *the Federal officeholders and candidates are not present? [44]*

1

2

This question is moot in light of the answer to question 17.

3

4

*21. May ABC solicit Federal funds by using the names of specific Federal candidates in solicitations that will convey ABC's support for or opposition to specific Federal candidates, assuming no coordination between ABC and any Federal candidates? Solicitations for Federal funds would be through mass mailings and broadcast advertising. For example, could ABC use the following message: "ABC supports President Bush's tax cuts to stimulate the economy. Give to ABC so that we can support President Bush's agenda." Or, could ABC use the following message: "ABC supports President Bush's tax cuts to stimulate the economy. Give to ABC so that we can support President Bush's reelection." [21], [24]*

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Yes. In the situation you describe, although ABC will use the names of specific

15

Federal candidates in solicitations, ABC will not coordinate with the candidates, and the

16

candidates will not solicit, receive, direct, transfer, spend or disburse funds outside of the

17

amount limitations, source prohibitions or reporting requirements of the Act. Thus, neither

18

2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(A), nor 11 CFR 300.61, nor any other provision of the Act or

19

Commission regulations, would bar ABC's fundraising through the use of the names of

20

specific Federal candidates in a manner that will convey ABC's support for or opposition to

21

specific Federal candidates.

22

23

*22. May ABC solicit non-Federal funds by using the names of specific Federal candidates in solicitations that will convey ABC's support for or opposition to specific Federal candidates, assuming no coordination between ABC and any Federal candidates? Solicitations for non-Federal funds would be through mass mailings, broadcast advertising, and in person solicitations using printed materials and taped messages. Could ABC use the messages presented in the immediately preceding question for this purpose? [25]*

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

No. 2 U.S.C. 431(8) provides that a contribution includes "any gift, subscription,

32

loan, advance, or deposit of money or anything of value made by any person for the

1 purpose of influencing any election for Federal office.” If ABC solicits funds by using the  
2 names of specific Federal candidates in a manner that will convey ABC’s support for or  
3 opposition to specific Federal candidates, the funds raised will be contributions to ABC  
4 subject to the Act’s contribution limits and source prohibitions. *Cf. FEC v. Survival*  
5 *Education Fund, Inc.*, 65 F.3d 285 (1995) (solicitations for funds, styled as contributions,  
6 for an incorporated issue advocacy group are subject to regulation under the Act). Thus,  
7 ABC may not solicit non-Federal funds by using the names of specific Federal candidates  
8 in a manner that will convey ABC’s support for or opposition to specific Federal  
9 candidates.

10

11 *23. May ABC sponsor an issues forum at which Federal officeholders or candidates speak,*  
12 *and then adjourn later in the same day to a different location for a non-Federal*  
13 *fundraiser that is not attended by Federal officeholders or candidates? The invitation*  
14 *to this event would include two separate pieces, each with its own disclaimer. One*  
15 *would be for the issues forum alone and would contain no electioneering or fundraising*  
16 *message. The second piece would be a fundraising piece for the non-Federal dollar*  
17 *fundraising that either did not mention or include Federal officeholders and candidates*  
18 *or, in the alternative, included Federal officeholders and candidates only to the extent*  
19 *permitted by the Commission. [45]*

20

21 Yes, as a non-connected political committee, ABC may sponsor an issues forum,  
22 which the Commission understands you intend to be a forum in which solicitations for  
23 funds do not occur. As explained in the answers to question 17, a covered person may be  
24 included on the invitations, subject to the limits of section 441i(e)(1)(B) and section 300.62.  
25 A Federal officeholder or candidate may speak at ABC’s issues forum.

26

27 *24. May ABC raise and spend funds from its non-Federal accounts from foreign nationals*  
28 *and from foreign corporations and labor organizations for voter registration and voter*  
29 *mobilization activities on behalf of Federal candidates with express advocacy (e.g.,*

1           *"register to help reelect President Bush") or with an issue advocacy message outside*  
2           *the 30 or 60 day windows (e.g., "Register. It's your duty.")? [49]*  
3

4           No. The Act, as amended by BCRA, prohibits foreign nationals<sup>25</sup> from, among  
5 other things, directly or indirectly making a contribution or donation of money or other  
6 thing of value, or to expressly or impliedly promise to make a contribution or donation, in  
7 connection with a Federal, State, or local election (this prohibition includes not only  
8 elections for political office, but also ballot measures and other types of elections). 2  
9 U.S.C. 441e(a)(1)(A); 11 CFR 110.20. Also, it is unlawful for a person to solicit, accept, or  
10 receive a contribution or donation from a foreign national. 2 U.S.C. 441e(a)(2). 11 CFR  
11 110.20(g) provides that no person shall knowingly solicit, accept or receive a contribution  
12 or donation from a foreign national, and 11 CFR 110.20(h) provides that no person shall  
13 knowingly provide substantial assistance in the making of an expenditure, independent  
14 expenditure or donation by a foreign national. Accordingly, ABC must not deposit such  
15 funds into its Federal or non-Federal account. Nothing in the Act or Commission  
16 regulations creates an exception on the basis of the political committee's intended use for  
17 the foreign national funds.

18       25. *If Federal candidates or officeholders participate in the requestors' fundraising*  
19       *activities in any scenario above, would they be "solicit[ing] . . . funds in connection*  
20       *with an election other than an election for Federal office" under 2 U.S.C.*  
21       *441i(e)(1)(B)? [46]*  
22

23           The Commission cannot resolve whether any of the fundraising scenarios you  
24 generally describe above are in connection with any election other than an election for  
25 Federal office without more specific information regarding those communications (e.g.,

---

<sup>25</sup> The following are considered foreign nationals for purposes of the Act: foreign governments; foreign political parties; foreign corporations; foreign associations; foreign partnerships; individuals with foreign citizenship; and United States immigrants who do not have a "green card." 11 CFR 110.20(a)(3).

1 none of the exhibits to ABC's request for an advisory opinion identify a candidate for State,  
2 district or local political office). As such, this question is hypothetical and it presents a  
3 general question of interpretation of the Act, rather than a specific transaction or activity,  
4 and is thus not proper for an advisory opinion. 2 U.S.C. 437f(a)(1); 11 CFR 112.1(b).

5 The Commission expresses no opinion regarding qualification for tax treatment  
6 under 26 U.S.C. 527 or any other ramifications of the proposed activities under the Internal  
7 Revenue Code because those questions are outside the Commission's jurisdiction.

8 This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the Act  
9 and Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your request.  
10 *See* 2 U.S.C. 437f. The Commission emphasizes that, if there is a change in any of the facts  
11 or assumptions presented, and such facts or assumptions are material to a conclusion  
12 presented in this advisory opinion, then the requestor may not rely on that conclusion as  
13 support for its proposed activity.

14  
15 Sincerely,  
16

17  
18  
19 Bradley A. Smith  
20 Chairman  
21

22 Enclosures (AOs 2003-36, 2003-5, 2003-3, and 2000-25)